

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 \* \* \*

9 BENJAMIN HOLLY,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 MORSE, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02592-RFB-DJA

**ORDER**

14  
15 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 8] of the  
16 Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered July 11, 2019.

17 A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
18 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific  
19 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §  
20 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is  
21 required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed  
22 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local  
23 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct  
24 “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.  
25 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due  
26 by July 25, 2019. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case  
27 and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.


28 ...

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Amended Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 8] is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is DISMISSED with leave to amend.

The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

DATED: August 21, 2019.

  
**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
**United States District Judge**